1		THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8		
9	PRODIGY FINANCE CM2017-1 DAC,	CASE NO. MC22-0100-JCC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER
11	V.	
12	PENHA CRISTINA BRAGA MARTINS,	
13	Defendant,	
14	DANK OF AMERICA NA	
15	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,	
16	Garnishee.	
17		
18	This matter comes before the Court on Pl	aintiff's Application for a Writ of Garnishment
19	(Dkt. No. 1). Plaintiff asks the Court to direct the Clerk to issue the writ pursuant to Chapter 6.27	
20	of the Revised Code of Washington. (See Dkt. No. 1.) But the writ, as proposed, contains certain	
21	deficiencies. First, the amount of total indebtedness, as detailed in the writ, does not agree with	
22	the indebtedness referenced earlier in the same document. (See Dkt. No. 1-2 at 1.) Second, the	
23	writ lacks the disclosure required for judgments associated with private student loan debt. (1d.)	
24	And based on the record in the underlying action, it appears that the source of the judgment is, in	
25	fact, a private student loan debt. See Prodigy Finance CM2017-1 DAC v. Penha Cristina Braga	
26	Martins, Case No. C21-1601-JCC, Dkt Nos. 2-1, 2-4 (W.D. Wash 2021). Washington law	

requires that writs "issued under an order or judgment for private student loan debt" disclose the nature of the debt to the garnishee. RCW 6.27.100(1)(b). Plaintiff is ORDERED to file a revised writ correcting these deficiencies, which the Clerk is DIRECTED to then issue. DATED this 9th day of November 2022. John C. Coughenour UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE